



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,635	05/04/2001	Sami Shemtov	551-P-002	1568

7277 7590 10/07/2004

HOWARD C. MISKIN
C/O STOLL, MISKIN, & BADIE
THE EMPIRE STATE BUILDING
350 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4710
NEW YORK, NY 10118

EXAMINER

DUNWOODY, AARON M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3679

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/849,635

Applicant(s)

SHEMTOV, SAMI

Examiner

Aaron M Dunwoody

Art Unit

3679

WU

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-16, 18-35 and 37-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-16, 18-35 and 37-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/23/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-16, 18-35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 38 and 39 recite a combination coupling device; however, neither 38 nor 39 define or support an invention of a combination coupling device even though both claims have lengthy preambles. It is unclear to the Examiner what the combination coupling device is comprised of.

Further, claims 38 and 39 fail to include a transitional phrase, such as "the combination coupling device comprising".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-3, 5, 9, 18, 19, 21, 25, 33-35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 3869154, Sanchez.

In regards to claim 38, as best understood, Sanchez discloses a coupling device (12) for positioning a pair of electrical wire-carrying conduits to be supported by a supporting member capable of being secured to a structure above the coupling device, the supporting member comprising a stem having a free end portion, the coupling device comprising a tubular member having opposed axially aligned ends, each of the ends adapted to receive one end of one of the pair of mating conduits, and the tubular member having a top surface and an aperture through the top surface adapted to be engaged by the free end portion of the stem of the supporting member, wherein the supporting member is positioned above the aperture on the top surface of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 39, Sanchez discloses a coupling device for (capable of) positioning a pair of electrical wire-carrying conduits to be supported by a supporting member capable of being secured to a structure adjacent the coupling device, the supporting member comprising a stem (23) having a free end portion,

Art Unit: 3679

the coupling device comprising an integral tubular member (12) having a generally cylindrical wall surrounding an interior space and opposed axially aligned ends, each of the ends adapted to receive one end of one of the pair of a mating conduit (not shown but implied), and the tubular member having an aperture (20) through the tubular wall into the interior space, the free end of the stem engaging the aperture to support the tubular member and is positioned in the interior space of the tubular member sufficient to engage the ends of the conduits received at the opposite ends of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 2, as best understood, Sanchez discloses the top surface being raised relative to the exterior surface of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 3, as best understood, Sanchez discloses the aperture being threaded internally and the stem, of the supporting member is externally matingly threaded at least at its free end for engaging into the internally threaded aperture.

In regards to claim 5, as best understood, Sanchez discloses a lock nut along the stem for locking the free end of the stem into the aperture.

In regards to claim 9, as best understood, Sanchez discloses a stop member projecting internally at about the middle of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 18, Sanchez discloses the top surface being raised relative to the exterior surface of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 19, Sanchez discloses the aperture being threaded internally and the stem of the supporting member being externally matingly threaded at least at its free end for engaging into the internally threaded aperture.

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 21, Sanchez discloses a lock nut along the stem for locking the free end of the stem into the aperture.

In regards to claim 25, Sanchez discloses a stop member projecting internally at about the middle of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 33, as best understood, Sanchez discloses the free end of the stem of the supporting member being positioned within the confine of the tubular member in contact with the pair of conduits.

In regards to claim 34, as best understood, Sanchez discloses each of the ends of the tubular member being externally threaded for receiving the conduit.

In regards to claim 35, as best understood, Sanchez discloses each of the ends of the tubular member further having an opening through the tubular member, the opening is internally threaded to receive a set screw for securely positioning the conduit.

In regards to claim 37, as best understood, Sanchez discloses the aperture being generally perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tubular member.

In regards to claim 40, as best understood, Sanchez discloses the tubular member further having a wall surrounding an interior space, wherein the aperture is adapted to receive the free end portion of the stem of the supporting member within the interior space.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation said supporting member comprising a stem have a free end portion adapted to engage said has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Aaron M Dunwoody
Examiner
Art Unit 3679

.amd